## **EXHIBIT N**

## Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2852-14 Filed 09/19/16 Page 2 of 5 PageID #: 103413

Vladimir Iakovlev, M.D.

|       |    |   | _ |
|-------|----|---|---|
|       | 1  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         |   |
|       | 2  | FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  |   |
|       | 3  | AT CHARLESTON                               |   |
|       | 4  |   |   |
|       | 5  | IN RE: ETHICON, INC., Master File No.       |   |
|       | 6  | PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS 2:12-MD-02327 |   |
|       | 7  | LIABILITY LITIGATION MDL 2327               |   |
|       | 8  |   |   |
|       | 9  | THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO CASE               |   |
|       | 10 | CONSOLIDATION:                              |   |
|       | 11 | Terreski Mullins, et al., v.                |   |
|       | 12 | Ethicon, Inc., et al.                       |   |
|       | 13 | Case No. 2:12-CV-02952                      |   |
|       | 14 |   |   |
|       | 15 |   |   |
|       | 16 |   |   |
|       | 17 | DEPOSITION OF                               |   |
|       | 18 | VLADIMIR IAKOVLEV, M.D.                     |   |
|       | 19 |   |   |
|       | 20 | * * * *                                     |   |
|       | 21 | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PORTION                 |   |
| 2000  | 22 | * * * *                                     |   |
| 0870  | 23 |   |   |
| 1 100 | 24 | September 14, 2015                          |   |
| 3     | 25 | 9:00 a.m 5:05 p.m.                          |   |
|       |    |   |   |

## Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2852-14 Filed 09/19/16 Page 3 of 5 PageID #: 103414 Vladimir Iakovlev, M.D.

```
1
      intentionally oxidized polypropylene by exposing it
     to some chemical solution?
  2
 3
                  MR. ORENT: Objection.
 4
                  THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.
 5
                  BY MR. THOMAS:
 6
                       Did you ask him to have that mesh
 7
     so that you could determine whether this
 8
     intentionally oxidized polypropylene absorbed
 9
     stain?
10
                 MR. ORENT: Objection.
11
                 THE WITNESS: No.
12
                 BY MR. THOMAS:
13
                 Q.
                      Why not?
14
                 MR. ORENT: Objection.
15
                 THE WITNESS: Because I'm doing my own
16
     experiment and I believe I need to keep it for at
     least a year and a half.
17
18
                 BY MR. THOMAS:
19
                      Did you discuss with Dr. Guelcher
                 Q.
20
     the scope of his experiment?
                 MR. ORENT: Objection. At this point,
21
22
     Counsel, I think you're getting into -- I think you
23
     need to clarify whether your questions are in the
24
     context of litigation or research.
25
                 To the extent it's in litigation it's
```

## Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2852-14 Filed 09/19/16 Page 4 of 5 PageID #: 103415 Vladimir Iakovlev, M.D.

- 1 covered by privilege and I would instruct the
- 2 witness not to answer under the rules. But to the
- 3 extent that you're discussing research, I think
- 4 that's fair game to discuss.
- 5 BY MR. THOMAS:
- Q. Okay. From a research
- 7 perspective, did you have any discussions with Dr.
- 8 Guelcher about his experiment?
- A. It's work in progress so it's
- 10 privileged to researchers, I guess, at this point.
- 11 Q. Are you going to assert a
- 12 privilege for your research?
- A. For research information, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you asserted a
- 15 litigation privilege, which I don't think is
- 16 appropriate -- I'm not arguing with you. You said
- 17 there's no research privilege. Now he's trying to
- 18 assert a research privilege?
- MR. ORENT: No, what I said was in
- 20 terms of legal -- in terms of legal privileges that
- 21 I can, that I have, that I have an attorney-client --
- 22 excuse me, a attorney work product under the Rule
- 23 26.
- 24 Rule 26 specifically allows for expert
- 25 witnesses to consult with one another under the

```
1
                  CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 2
     CANADA
 3
     PROVINCE OF ONTARIO
 4
 5
     I, Judith M. Caputo, the officer before whom the
     foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify
 6
 7
     that the witness whose testimony appears in the
 8
     foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the
 9
     testimony of said witness was taken by me in
10
     shorthand, using Computer Aided Realtime, to the
11
     best of my ability and thereafter reduced to
12
     written format under my direction; that I am
13
     neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
14
     any of the parties to the action in which the
15
     deposition was taken, and further that I am not
16
     related or any employee of any attorney or counsel
17
     employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or
18
     otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
19
20
21
22
     Judith M. Caputo, RPR, CSR, CRR
23
24
     Commissioner for taking
     Oaths in the Province of Ontario
25
```